



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/8/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: D.V. Sunitha Course: B.tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta (V) Guntur (Dist)
Mobile: 9074262249 E-mail: sunithachoudhary20@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

D.V. Sunitha
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Vyshnavi.....Course: B.Tech Department: ECF.....
Year/Semester : 2-2.....Address: Pulladigunta village, Guntur dist.
Mobile: 9550549382..... E-mail: vyshnavi2004@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

J. Vyshnavi
Signature of the Student


Principal
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17,



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/8/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Pulivarthi sravanthi Course: B.Tech Department: ECE 592017
Year/Semester: 2nd year II Address: Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M) Guntur (Dist)
Mobile: 7396985426 E-mail: sravanthipulivarthi555@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

P. sravanthi
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Kunamaneni Sravanthi Course: B.Tech Department: ECE 522017
 Year/Semester: 2nd year II Address: Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M) Guntur (Dist)
 Mobile: 9305996650 E-mail: sravanthi.kunamaneni@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. Sravanthi
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Shaik.Maimunnisa Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2nd year, II Address: pulladigunta(V), Vatticherukuru(M), guntur(D), 522017
Mobile: 9912501732 E-mail: maimunnisashaik020@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

SK.Maimunnisa
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: E. Sukanya Course: B.Tech. Department: ECE
 Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta (V), Guntur (CD)
 Mobile: 9550558076 E-mail: e.sukanya@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5 ✓	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

E. Sukanya.
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Kamepalli Umila Course: B.tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta (V), Guntur (Dt)
Mobile: 6301671413 E-mail: anjusnini.vas.3053@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. Umila
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Jessy Grace Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: I Address: Guntur

Mobile: 8106891358 E-mail: maxhixisathyavathi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	<u>Good</u>	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>5</u>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>4</u>
3	Depth of the course content	<u>4</u>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<u>3</u>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<u>5</u>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>3</u>
7	Overall rating	<u>4.5</u>

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

M. Jessy Grace
Signature of the Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Somisetti Venkatasai Dhanasri Course: B-TECH Department: ECE
 Year/Semester : 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
 Mobile: 6300445221 E-mail: Somisettidhanasri@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

S.V.S. Dhanasri
Signature of the Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: NUTHALAPATI, PALLAVI Course: B-Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur.
Mobile: 9676639718 E-mail: pallavinuthalapati4@gmail.com.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

N. Pallavi
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Ruchitha Course: B.Tech Department: E.C.E
 Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
 Mobile: 9652095269 E-mail: ruchithapachhalala@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

P. Ruchitha
Signature of the Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Y. Devi Course: B.T.E.C.H. Department: E.C.E.

Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (D)

Mobile: 9059140264 E-mail: ypraxedy.devi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Y. Devi
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/02/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Vishnu pritya Course: B.tech Department: E.C.E
Year/Semester : 2nd (2-2) Address: Pulladigunta(V) Vatticherukuru(M), Guntur(Dist)
Mobile: 8186948489 E-mail: nagenen.vishnu004610@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: k. psivanka Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2nd (2-2) Address: pulladigunta(v) vatticherukuru(M) Guntur(D)
Mobile: 9392868982 E-mail: psivankakasiboina@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?


- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Swathi Course: B.Tech Department: E.C.E
Year/Semester: 2nd (2-2) Address: Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist)
Mobile: 9154239615 E-mail: kuchinaswathi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1). 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. Swathi
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Likhitheswari Course: B.TECH Department: ECE
 Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (D)
 Mobile: 7416773536 E-mail: likhithanadendla64@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

N. Likhitheswari
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE,FOC/R20

Date: 06/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Rochana Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester : 2-2 Address: pulladigunta
Mobile: 8303349098 E-mail: jonnalagadda.rochana@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

J. Rochana

Signature of the Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch. Rupavathi Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur Dist.
Mobile: 7287088463 E-mail: ch.rupavathi2003@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5 ✓	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Ch. Rupavathi

Signature of the Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Lakshmi Prasanna Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M) Guntur (Dist.)
Mobile: 7569235978 E-mail: Prasannaorakarla@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. Lakshmi Prasanna
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6-3-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Jakkireddy Gayatri Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 11-2 Address: Pulladigunta (v), Vatticherukuru (m), Guntur
Mobile: 7995236021 E-mail: jakkireddy.gayatri@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

J. Gayatri
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. Sravanthi Course: Btech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile: 9652769496 E-mail: Sravanthi.tulluru@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

T. Sravanthi

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Naga Akshaya Course: B.TECH. Department: ECE
Year/Semester: II nd - 2nd Address: Vengalada pulladigunta Prallipadu Guntur
Mobile: 9347294528 E-mail: akshayaasumalla30@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

A. Naga Akshaya
Signature of the Student

J. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Jwalitha Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile: 8019139167 E-mail: Jwalithagolapothuru@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

G. Jwalitha
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Syamala Course: B-Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: IInd year, II Address: Pulladigunta(V), Vatticherukuru(M), Guntur(D), 522017
Mobile: 7075136746 E-mail: nsyamala137@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

N. Syamala
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Gera, Persistone Course: B.Tech Department: ECE S22017
Year/Semester: 2nd year II Address: Pulladigunta Vatticherukuru (M) Guntur (Dist)
Mobile: 7032795211 E-mail: Gera.persistone.gera@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

G. Persistone
Signature of the Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.
www.mlewguntur.com
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 26-04-2023

From
Dr J. Apparao,
Principal,
MLWEC,
GUNTUR,
AP-522017.

TO
The Director,
Academic Planning,
JNTUK, Kakinada,
AP, INDIA.
Sir,

SUB: Inclusion of New Course/Laboratory in the Curriculum of Electronics and Communication Engineering Program Request reg.,

The Department Advisory Board (DAB) of ECE held meeting on 01/01/23 and had discussion on the student feedback. DAB-ECE analyzed the feedback taken from alumni and exit students in which they notified a few shortcomings in the Curriculum. These Curriculum gaps Pertain to the Program Outcome PO5 in the ECE Program. The DAB has recommended inclusion of the courses mentioned below in the Curriculum of ECE Program for the Benefit of Students.

We request you to kindly forward the matter to university authorities for necessary action for the benefit of students. The DAC Meeting Proceedings are attached herewith.

Thanking you sir,

Recommendations of DAB:

1. Analog IC Design lab course in Laboratory
2. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning as a Course

Yours Faithfully
Dr.J.Apparao
(Principal)

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Sowmya.....Course: B.Tech. Department: ECE.....
Year/Semester : II-II.....Address: pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile: 7032772205..... E-mail: sowmya.makkena2003@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student

M. Sowmya.

J. Anil
PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Monika Course: B-Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta Vatticherukuru, guntur

Mobile: 8985287414 E-mail: aniketmonika@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student

A. Monika

[Signature]

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Tejaswini Course: B.tech Department: ECE
 Year/Semester: 2nd, 2.2 Address: Pulladigunta (V), Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist)
 Mobile: 9177631752 E-mail: veeramreddytejaswinireddy@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

V. Tejaswini
Signature of the Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Sindhu Gowri Course: B-Tech Department: FCE
Year/Semester: III - 9 Address: Pulladigunta Guntur
Mobile: 9573059412 E-mail: SindhuGowri.021103@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. Keerthi Course: B-Tech Department: ECE
 Year/Semester: II-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
 Mobile: 8919577768 E-mail: tadikondakeerthi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4 ✓	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student

T. Keerthi

[Signature]

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch. Sirisha Course: Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile: 8179789514 E-mail: cheparthi.sirishma3@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Ch. Sirisha
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch. Pallavi Krishna Course: Btech Department: ECE
Year/Semester : 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile: 8522061200 E-mail: pallavikrishna912@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Ch. Pallavi Krishna
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Davuluri Harshapriya Course: B-tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: 2004-II⁰⁸ Address: Pulladigunta, Vatticherukuru, Guntur

Mobile: 9032271933 E-mail: harshapriya.davuluri@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	✓4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

D. Harshapriya
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/13/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Yamini Course: B-tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta, Vatticherukuru, Guntur
Mobile: 7671971417 E-mail: axepalli.yamini23@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

A. Yamini
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: D.V. Puana Course: B-tech Department: E.C.S
Year/Semester: 2nd year II sem Address: Pulladigunta, Vatticherukuru, Guntur
Mobile: 9106707969 E-mail: devaragudavanima@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

D.V. Puana
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: kanchupati pravallika.....Course: B.Tech..... Department: E.C.E.....

Year/Semester : IInd year II.....Address: pulladigunta.....

Mobile: 9014485917..... E-mail : kanchupatipravallika.13.26@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4 ✓	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. pravallika
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Sahitya pooja B Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile: 8341193571 E-mail: Sahitya_b27@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Sahitya B
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6-3-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Divya Hemashri Course: B.tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester : II - II Address:

Mobile: 806791945 E-mail divyahemashri@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

D. Hemashri
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Himaja S. V. Durga Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigumata
Mobile: 7180702996 E-mail: himajakadali7@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. H. S. V. Durga
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/03/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Yaganti Divya Course: B.tech Department: ECE
 Year/Semester : II - D Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
 Mobile: 9618120256 E-mail: yagantidivya512@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Y. Divya
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V.L.K.mahalakshmi Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile: 9347937928 E-mail: chinnari.vanga999@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student

V.L.K.mahalakshmi

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Valluri. Kavya Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester : II-II Address: Pulladigunta, Vatticherukuru, Guntur
Mobile: 8019103947 E-mail: kavyavalluri80@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

V. Kavya
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 8/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Venkata Kavya Course: B.Tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta, Vatticherukuru, Guntur
Mobile: 7842724247 E-mail: kavyapothakamurio@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

P.V. Kavya
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S.Thanmayi Course: B.tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: 2nd - 2 Address: Pulladigunta, guntur.
Mobile: 7849152054 E-mail: thanmayi.jyeshma@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student

S.Thanmayi

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 06/03/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Bhargavi Course: Btech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: II-II Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile: 8106367371 E-mail: Makkenabhargavi682@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

M. Bhargavi
Signature of the Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Sraddha Course: B.tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester : 2:2 Address: Pulladigunta Guntur
Mobile: 6305569622 E-mail: mandali.sraddha@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 21/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Likitha Course: Department: CSE Year/Semister:
Address: Guntur Mobile: 70932028820 E-mail: Likitha Gaurapati@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Deapth of course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In your opinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

Signature Of Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 21/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Divya Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: II/II
Address: Budampadu Mobile: 9440383860 E-mail: Nakka Divya@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In your opinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

Signature Of Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 22/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: L. Anusha Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: II II
Address: Amravathi Mobile: 9854621812 E-mail: Anusha.Lingli@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is the opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of the course should the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

Signature Of Student



PRINCIPAL

**MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLABIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.**



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 22/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Aruna Course: Department: CSE Year/Semister: II/II
Address: Marala Mobile: E-mail: Aruna.Komarigiri@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	4
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In your opinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

Signature Of Student


PRINCIPAL

**MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLABIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.**

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 21/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Harshitha Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III / I
Address: Gurajala Mobile: 9154720886 E-mail: Harri.Ardanki'6090@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In your opinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

Signature Of Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTOOR



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 23/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: L. Sneheletha Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III/IV
Address: MLWEC, Guntur Mobile: 7075 301858 E-mail: Sneheletha201920@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Deapth of course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	4
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In youropinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

Signature Of Student

L. Sneheletha
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 23/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S. Harshitha Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III IV
Address: MLWEC/Guntur Mobile: 7207223304 E-mail: Harsh.MLWEC4U@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Deapth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In youropinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

S. Harshitha
Signature Of Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 21/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. Nageswar Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III / II
Address: MLWEC/huntur Mobile: 6303331684 E-mail: thotanyeswar10@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Deapth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In youropinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

T. Nageswar
Signature Of Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINEN LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PALLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 22/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Priyanka Course: Department: CSE Year/Semister: III/II
Address: MLWEC/Guntur Mobile: 8897548544 Email: kanerka-456@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In youopinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

K. Priyanka
Signature Of Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 21/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: E. Ahalya Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III/II
Address: MLWEC/Guntur Mobile: 9391538713 E-mail: Ahalya2khan@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Deapth of course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	3
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In youropinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

E. Ahalya
Signature Of Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL

**MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.**

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 22/1/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Bhargavi Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III / II
Address: MCEW/hunter Mobile: 9676735418 E-mail: k.uttara.Bhargavi.13@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Deapth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In youropinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

K. Bhargavi
Signature Of Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 23/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Padmaavathi Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III / II
Address: MLWEC/Guntur Mobile: 8639679135 E-mail: padma.chowdery180@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Deapth of course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7. In your opinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

M. Padmaavathi
Signature Of Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINEN LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 21/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S. Trinetra Course:..... Department: CSE Year/Semester: III / II
Address: MLEWC / Guntur Mobile: 8125631089 E-mail: Suretrinetra@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Deapth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	4
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In your opinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

S. Trinetra

Signature Of Student

[Signature]

PRINCIPAL

**MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLABIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.**

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 22/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Battula Anjali Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III / II

Address: MLWEC/Guntur Mobile: 8179732475 E-mail: Battulaanjali5074@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Deapth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	4
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In you opinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

B - Anjali
Signature Of Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 21/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Demarla Naga Nandini Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: III / II
Address: MLEW/hunter Mobile: 70 32739 155 E-mail: Demarla.naga.nandini@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In youropinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

D.N. Nandini

Signature Of Student


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Ref MLWEC/CSE,FBC/R20

Date: 22/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Yasem Kalyani Course: Department: CSE Year/Semester: IV/II

Address: MLW/hunter Mobile: 7075300062 E-mail: kalyaniasem219@gmail.com

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in term of skill,concept,knowledge,analytical abilities,orbroadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effortrequired by students	5
6	Relevance/Learning value of project/Report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5)Challenging 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)Dull

3. Your back ground for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4)Relevant 3)partly Relevant 2)mostly Irrelevant

4.How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5)90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5.What is the opinion about the library holdingsfor the course?

5)Excellent 4)Adequate 3)Inadequate 2)poor 1)Very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5)Very good 4)Good 3) Average 2)Poor

7.In youropinion,how much of the total weightage of the courseshould the internal assessment account for?

5)100% 4)75% 3)50% 2)25% 1)below 25%

Y. Kalyani
Signature Of Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R²⁰

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Aparna Course: B.Tech Department: IT
Year/Semester: II - II Address: Bollavurappadu, ongole.
Mobile: 7013128460 E-mail: aparnachowdary34@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR - 7

Signature of the Student: K. Aparna



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R19

Date: 13/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Keerthi Course: B-Tech Department: IT
Year/Semester: 3-2 Address: _____
Mobile: 8790939854 E-mail: ganimidi.keerthi04@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 7

Signature of the Student: G. Keerthi

G. Keerthi



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R30

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Yamuna Course: B.Tech Department: IT
Year/Semester: 3-2 Address: Bothapalem(V), Darsi(M).
Mobile: 8374577606 E-mail: byamuna552@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR

T. Anil

Signature of the Student: B. Yamuna



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R19-20

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Akhila Course: B-Tech Department: IT
Year/Semester: III - II Address: Guntur
Mobile: 8247507061 E-mail: akhilamotupalli58@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: M. Akhila



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R39

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S. Sai Lakshmi

Course: B.Tech

Department: IT

Year/Semester: 3-2

Address: Pamkula Palemly, Vinukonda (M)

Mobile: 9182339052

E-mail: suxidexsaisai@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR

T. Arund

Signature of the Student: S. Sai Lakshmi



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R19 R20

Date: 13-03-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Bhimani Kusumapriya Course: B-Tech Department: IT
Year/Semester: 3-2 Address: Bedavada, Parbhoni
Mobile: 9110796468 E-mail: bhimani.kusumapriya02@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
GUNTUR

Signature of the Student: B. Kusumapriya



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R19 R20

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: D. Chandrika

Course: B.Tech

Department: IT

Year/Semester: 3-2

Address: Dachepalli main bazar

Mobile: 7337477587

E-mail: chandrikadevareddy1@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR - 7

IT-Abud

Signature of the Student: pchandrika



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R19 20

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Harsa Course: B.Tech Department: IT
Year/Semester: III-II Address: Chilakalveipot
Mobile: 7207485339 E-mail: Patanharsa125@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017

Signature of the Student: P. Harsa



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R19 R20

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Ruchitha Course: B.Tech Department: IT
Year/Semester: 3-2 Address: Guntur
Mobile: 9948095483 E-mail: pppuri.ruchitha2902@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-5

T. Anand

Signature of the Student: P. Ruchitha



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R1920

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Komali Course: B.Tech Department: IT
Year/Semester: III - II Address: Chinthapallipadu
Mobile: 8074799070 E-mail: karlapudi.komalig@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR

Signature of the Student: K. Komali



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R19

Date: 13/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Mahalakshmi Course: B.Tech Department: Information Technology
Year/Semester: 3-2 Address: Guntur, Karatpandu
Mobile: 9502554825 E-mail: perubakulamahalakshmi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR

Signature of the Student: P. Mahalakshmi



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur - 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Teja Sree Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
Year/Semester: III / I Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR - 17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

2023E1A4401 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Teja Sree

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / II

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

A. Teja Sree
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur - 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KE1A4402 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Naga Hyderabad Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III/II Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

B. Naga Hyderabad
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 25/11/22

20K5IAH403 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Vasantha lakshmi Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III/P Address:

Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: B.V.

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20K8IA4403 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. vasantha lakshmi Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III / II Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: B.V.

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KEIAU004 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Hema Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
Year/Semester: II/I Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

B. Hema
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

2023/24 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Hema Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III / IV Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

B. Hema

Signature of the Student:

[Signature]

PRINCIPAL
 MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
 WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KEIA4405 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Lakshmi Neeraja Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / I Address:

Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: CH

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KELIAH405 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Lakshmi Neeraja Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III / II Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: CH

[Signature]
 PRINCIPAL
 MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
 WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KE1AUU06

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Rukmini

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: IV / I

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20K5IA4406 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. RUKMINI Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: II/II Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?


1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: 


 PRINCIPAL
 MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
 WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KEIA4407

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Rajeswari Course: R.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III / I Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

CH. Rajeswari
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-1



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur - 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KEIA4407 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Rajeswari Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III IV Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was
 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
 1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

CH. Rajeswari
 Signature of the Student: *[Signature]*
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522 017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20K8IA4W08 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: C.H. Yamini Course: R-Teach Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III / I Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: C.H.

Signature of the Principal: [Signature]
 PRINCIPAL
 MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
 WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17...



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KFA1408

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Yamini

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / III

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: CH

Signature of the Principal: [Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KEIA4410 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: D. Sai Sandhya Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: DS

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KEIAH410 STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: D. Sai Sandhya Course: R.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: II / II Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: Ans

Signature of the Principal: [Signature]
 PRINCIPAL
 MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
 WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

20KE1A4411

Name: E. Swathi

Course: R.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: IV / I

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

E. Swathi
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522 017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur - 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

20KE1A4411

Name: E. Swathi

Course: R.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III/III

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging
- 2) adequate
- 3) inadequate
- 4) dull
- 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant
- 2) relevant
- 3) partly relevant
- 4) mostly irrelevant
- 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100%
- 2) 75 to 90%
- 3) 50 to 75%
- 4) 40 to 50%
- 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent
- 2) adequate
- 3) inadequate
- 4) poor
- 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good
- 2) good
- 3) average
- 4) poor
- 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100%
- 2) 75%
- 3) 50%
- 4) 25%
- 5) below 25%

E. Swathi
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

20KE1A4412

Name: G. Manusha

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: IV / IV

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: G. Manusha

Signature of the Principal: T. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: 20KEIA4412 G. Manisha Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III / D Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: G. Manisha

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522 017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KE1A4413

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Hrudaya Valli Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III/II Address: _____
 Mobile: _____ E-mail: _____

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

20KE1A4413

Name: G. Houdaya Valli

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / II

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KE1A4414

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Parashanti

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / I

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

Signature of the Principal: *[Signature]*
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20K6IA4414

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Poochandi Course: R.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III/II Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: Gobrag

T. Anand
 PRINCIPAL
 MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
 WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KEIAHHS STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Mounika Course: R.Tech Department: CSE-DS
Year/Semester: III ID Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

J
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KEIA45

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Mounika Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III / II Address: _____
 Mobile: _____ E-mail: _____

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


Signature of the Student:


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

20KE1A4416

Name: J. Lalghmi Prasantha

Course: R.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / I

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

J. Lalghmi
Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

20KE1A4416

Name: J. Lakshmi Prasanna

Course: R.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / II

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

J. Lakshmi
Signature of the Student:

J. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KE1A4H17

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Pravalika

Course: R.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / I

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

J. Pravalika
Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KE1A4417

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Pravalika Course: R.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III (U) Address: _____
 Mobile: _____ E-mail: _____

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1). 90 to100% 2)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1)100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

J. Pravalika
Signature of the Student:

J. And
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

20KE1A4418
Name: K. Anusha Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
Year/Semester: III/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. Anusha
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KEIA4418

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Anusha

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / II

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. Anusha
Signature of the Student:

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KE1A04119 **STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM**

Name: K. Charitha Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
Year/Semester: III/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging
- 2) adequate
- 3) inadequate
- 4) dull
- 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant
- 2) relevant
- 3) partly relevant
- 4) mostly irrelevant
- 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100%
- 2) 75 to 90%
- 3) 50 to 75%
- 4) 40 to 50%
- 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent
- 2) adequate
- 3) inadequate
- 4) poor
- 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good
- 2) good
- 3) average
- 4) poor
- 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100%
- 2) 75%
- 3) 50%
- 4) 25%
- 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20KEIA04419

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Charitha Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: II/II Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1). 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: 


 PRINCIPAL
 MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
 WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KEIA4420

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Rishitha Course: B.Tech Department: CSE-DS
 Year/Semester: III/II Address:
 Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?


1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: 


 PRINCIPAL
 MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
 WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

20K61A4420

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Rishitha

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / II

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: K. Rishitha

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

20KE1A4421

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Nardini

Course: R.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / I

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: 


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

201CE1A4421

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Nardini

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III / II

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR...



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 15/11/22

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

SOKEIA4422

Name: K. Nikshleshwari

Course: R-Teach

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III/II

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

- 1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

- 1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

- 1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

- 1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

- 1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

- 1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. Nikshleshwari
Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R20

Date: 28/3/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

201CE1A4422

Name: K. Nikhileshwari

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE-DS

Year/Semester: III/II

Address:

Mobile:

E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

K. Nikhileshwari
Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Hemalatha Course: B. Tech Department: AI & DS
Year/Semester: II / II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student:





MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Y. Navya Sri Course: B-Tech Department: AIDS
Year/Semester: I.I.D Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

Navya


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Gr. Anusha Course: R.tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: II/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1).90 to100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

T. Anusha
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017

Anusha



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. PALLAVI Course: B.tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: II/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1).90 to100% 2)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student: M. Pallavi

T. Anub
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Mahika Course: B.tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1).90 to100% 2)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

Mahika

T. Anil
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Snehalatha reddy Course: B-Tech Department: AI-DS
Year/Semester: II/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Keerthi Course: B.Tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Keerthi



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Likhitha Course: B.tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate ~~3) inadequate~~ 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) ~~relevant~~ 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1).90 to100% ~~2)75 to 90%~~ 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?


1) excellent 2) adequate ~~3) inadequate~~ 4) poor 5) very poor


6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) ~~good~~ 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

~~1) 100%~~ 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student: 



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Dhana Lakshmi Course: B.Tech Department: AI-DS
Year/Semester: II/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Lakshmi Nandini Course: B.tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: I/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) ~~inadequate~~ 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1).90 to100% 2)75 to 90% 3) ~~50 to 75%~~ 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?


1) excellent 2) ~~adequate~~ 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) ~~average~~ 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) ~~100%~~ 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student: M. Lakshmi



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Bhavana Ramesh Sri Course: B.tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: II/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?


1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017

Signature of the Student: K. Bhavana



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. kavya Course: B-Tech Department: AI-DS
Year/Semester: PII Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?


1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student:





MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Y. Sri Venkata Suga Sachwika Course: B.tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: II/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student: Y. S.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 12-5-2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Triveni Course: B-Tech Department: AIDS
Year/Semester: II/II Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly relevant 2) relevant 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) adequate 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) average 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) 100% 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

Triveni


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 18/5/2022

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A.V.N.D. Sai Surekha Course: B.tech Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address:
Mobile: E-mail:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, orbroadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging 2) adequate 3) ~~inadequate~~ 4) dull 5) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highlyrelevant 2) ~~relevant~~ 3) partly relevant 4) mostly irrelevant 5) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

1) 90 to 100% 2) 75 to 90% 3) ~~50 to 75%~~ 4) 40 to 50% 5) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

1) excellent 2) ~~adequate~~ 3) inadequate 4) poor 5) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very good 2) good 3) ~~average~~ 4) poor 5) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) ~~100%~~ 2) 75% 3) 50% 4) 25% 5) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student: A. Surekha



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. Sasi Priya Course: M.B.A Department: M.B.A
Year/Semester: 1st - II sem Address: M.L.E.W
Mobile: 9014376428 E-mail: sasipriya.tarnesou@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extremely Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

T. Sasi Priya.


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: v. Nagalakshmi Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: 2-year II Sem Address: MLEW

Mobile: 9392557781 E-mail: nagalakshmienna1725@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

✓ 5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

✓ 5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

✓ 5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

✓ 5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

✓ 5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

✓ 5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

v. Nagalakshmi

T. Anand

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR - 522017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/06/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S.V.L. Monika Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: 1st Year/2nd Sem Address: MLEW

Mobile: 7981351315 E-mail: Monika.Sanga24@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

S.V.L. Monika
Signature of the Student

T. Anil
IPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26-06-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: U. Supriya Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: 1st year - II sem Address: MLEW

Mobile: 7569052514 E-mail: utukurisupriya414@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

U. Supriya

T. Anil

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 06/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: SABIHA SK Course: MBA Department: MBA
Year/Semester: 1st/1st Address: MLEW
Mobile: 8247775320 E-mail: (sab)shaik.sabihai2008@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) Challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

Sk. Sabiha


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR - 522 017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26-06-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Rusom. Mounika Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I/II sem Address: MLEW

Mobile: 8143502795 E-mail: mounirusom@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

R. Mounika

T. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), VatticheruKūru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA,FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: AMARA DIVYA Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: 1st year / 2nd semester Address: MLEW

Mobile: 6309516648 E-mail: poiseetty divya 86114@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

A. Divya

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Gr. Naga Jyothi Course: MBA Department: MBA
Year/Semester: 1st year - 2nd sem Address: MLEW
Mobile: 9502952158 E-mail: gandikotanagajyothi27@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate ✓ 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant ✓ 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% ✓ 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent ✓ 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good ✓ 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% ✓ 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

Gr. Naga Jyothi

T. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/06/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Swathi Bai Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I / II Address: MLEW

Mobile: 7013610244 E-mail: Banswathiswathi Bai@e-mail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

B. Swathi Bai.

T. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Keerthi priya Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: 1st year/2nd semester Address: MLEW

Mobile: 7339290939 E-mail: keerthipriyajidugasi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate ✓ 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant ✓ 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% ✓ 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent ✓ 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good ✓ 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% ✓ 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

J. Keerthi priya
Signature of the Student

J. Anil
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Tejaswi Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I / II sem Address: MLEW

Mobile: 8309276799 E-mail: buragadatejaswi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate ✓ 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant ✓ 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% ✓ 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent ✓ 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good ✓ 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% ✓ 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

B. Tejaswi
Signature of the Student

T. Anil
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26-6-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Nagamani Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I - 2 sem Address: MLEW

Mobile: 9989107159 E-mail: kakalaxmi.nagamani@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging ✓ 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant ✓ 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% ✓ 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent ✓ 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good ✓ 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% ✓ 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

K. Nagamani


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch. Gayathri Course: MBA Department: MBA
Year/Semester: I year/2nd Address: MLEW
Mobile: 904533985 E-mail: chadalavodagayathri866@gmail

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

✓ 5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

✓ 5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

✓ 5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

✓ 5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

✓ 5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

✓ 5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Ch. Gayathri
Signature of the Student

T. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur - 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023.

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Suvarchala Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I year / 2nd Sem Address: MLEW

Mobile: 9030471973 E-mail: Suvarchalakurchari@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging ✓ 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant ✓ 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% ✓ 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent ✓ 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good ✓ 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% ✓ 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

K. Suvarchala

T. Anil
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26-6-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. SIREESHA Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: 1/2 Address: MLEW

Mobile: 9398593515 E-mail: chilucusisireesha13@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

CH. Sireesha

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26-06-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: L. Aswini Course: MBA Department: MBA
Year/Semester: 1st/2nd sem Address: MLEW
Mobile: 9347305182 E-mail: aswinilokasani@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

✓ 5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

✓ 5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

✓ 5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

✓ 5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


✓ 5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

✓ 5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

L. Aswini


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA,FOC/R19

Date: 26-06-2023.

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch. Maunika Teja ^{Sunni} Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I/2nd Sem Address: MLEW

Mobile: 9052328438 E-mail: maunika.teja.sunni@rediffmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	✓5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

✓5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

✓5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

✓5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

✓5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

✓5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

✓5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

Ch. Maunika Teja ^{Sunni}

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Bhargavi Course: MBA Department: MBA
Year/Semester: 1/12 Address: MLEW
Mobile: 7396032801 E-mail: nadendra.bhargavi2001@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

N. Bhargavi
Signature of the Student

[Signature]
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA,FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023.

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: ONGOLE Dharani Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I-II Address: MLEW

Mobile: 901446499 E-mail: ongoledharani2001@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5 ✓	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

✓5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

✓5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

✓5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

✓5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


5) very good 4) ✓ good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

✓5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

O. Dharani


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA,FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: ch. Psanitha Course: MBA Department: MBA
Year/Semester: F/II Address: MLEW
Mobile: 8096478167 E-mail: chPsanitha05021@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student:

ch. Psanitha

T. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26-06-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Neelima Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I/II Address: MLEW

Mobile: 9346490352 E-mail: Neelima.0352@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

P. Neelima

T. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA,FOC/R19

Date: 26-06-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Deepika Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I/II Address: MLEW

Mobile: 9381298708 E-mail: galladeepika@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

✓ 5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

✓ 5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

✓ 5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

✓ 5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) ✓ good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

✓ 5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

G. Deepika.
Signature of the Student

T. Anand
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA, FOC/R19

Date: 26-6-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Mounika Course: M.B.A Department: M.B.A
Year/Semester: I - II sem Address: M.L.E.W
Mobile: 9346155255 E-mail: chexukuxi.Mounika12@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

Ch. Mounika


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA,FOC/R19

Date: 26-6-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Lakshmi Sai Susatha Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I Sem Address: M.L. Buid

Mobile: 9193118325 E-mail: L.lakshmi.sai.susatha@ds@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

L. Lakshmi Sai Susatha
Signature of the Student

J. Anil
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH-WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Ref: MLEW/MBA,FOC/R19

Date: 26/6/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S. pravallika Course: MBA Department: MBA

Year/Semester: I/I Address: MLEW

Mobile: 8341140043 E-mail: pravallika3915@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?


5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

S. pravallika


PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR- 522017.